

2:23-cv-05913-JFA-MGB WRIGHT v Ray et al

THIS IS A CIVIL SUIT 42 U.S.C. § 1983
 CLAIM OF VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
 BROUGHT AGAINST SUMTER LEE REGIONAL
 DETENTION CENTER DIRECTOR PATRICIA RAY et al
 AND MEDICAL OF FACILITY IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL
 CAPACITY AS WELL AS THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITY,
 AMENDMENTS 6th, 8th, AND 14th BY LACK OF FOLLOWING!

- INHUMAN AND UNSANITARY LIVING CONDITIONS;
- RESTRICTIVE VISITING HOURS-DENIAL OF CONTACT VISITS
- INADEQUATE RECREATION • RESTRICTIVE CORRESPONDENCE RULES •
- INADEQUATE MEDICAL CARE
- UNCONSTITUTIONAL OVERCROWDING

UPON ENTERING THE SURDC FACILITY WHICH
 DIRECTOR RAY IS HEAD OF OPERATION OF. I'VE
 BEEN TREATED CRUEL AND UNUSUAL BY SEVERAL
 OF DIRECTOR RAY OFFICIALS ON THE DEMAND OF
 DIRECTOR. I'VE SEND DIRECTOR GRIEVANCE
 THROUGH KIOSK ADDRESSING ABOVE MENTION
 ISSUE. HOWEVER, DIRECTOR NEVER SEEM TO
 ADEQUATELY INVESTIGATE ISSUE, IN HER
 INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY SHE WOULD RESPOND
 IN FAVOR OF STAFF LEAVING ME TO DELEGATE
 INDIFFERENCE TO NO EXTREME. IN HER CULPABLE
 STATE OF MIND, DIRECTOR MOST CERTAINLY HAVE
 ABUSE HER AUTHORITY AS UNTO A CLASSIFICATION
 SYSTEM WHICH INHOUSED ME IN A KEEPLOCK FOR
 SIX MONTHS IN INHUMAN SANITATION, CELL WITH OLD
 MOLD, RESTRICTIVE VISITING HOURS-TO POINT MY
 RELATIVES HAVE BEEN TURNED AROUND, MY SEVENTEEN/
 YOU OLD SON CAN'T COME SEE ME DUE TO BEING UNDER
 EIGHTEEN REQUIREMENT, INADEQUATE RECREATION-
 CONFINING ME TO A OVERCROWDED 5/8 CELL FOR SIX

CONT: 2:23-CV-05913-JFA-MGB WRIGHT

MONTHS, ONLY ALLOW 35 DAYS / 1 HR PER DAY TO TAKE SHOWER, CALL LAWYER OR FAMILY, ORDER OR USE KIOSK TO ADDRESS GRIEVANCE ISSUE, ECT, • UNSANITIZE OR CLEAN CLOTHS TO POINT WHERE "HER STAFF SAYS" YOU ALWAYS WANT SOMETHING, WHEN ASK FOR CLEAN UNIFORM, • UNSANITIZE TOILET DUE TO STAFF/OFFICIALS KEEP CLEANING SUPPLIES LOCKED-UP TO THEIR PERSONAL USE, • DEPRIVEMENT OF CALORIES ON DAILY BASIC - DUE TO KITCHEN NOR MEDICAL HAVE A DIETITION PERSONEL NOR MENU. ALTHOUGH SPECIAL TRAYS IS BEING SERVED LIKE NO TOMATOES, ONIONS, DOUBLE PORTION FOR OFFICERS TRUSTEES. HOWEVER NO REMEDY FOR MY STOMACH ILLNESS REQUIREMENT OF CALORIES (DIVERTICULITIOUS DIET).

• INADEQUATE MEDICAL CARE, UPON SEEING MEDICAL BOU ABOUT MY STOMACH ILLNESS OF 9/27/23. PRIOR TO THIS VISIT, I'VE BEEN HAVING STOMACH ILLNESS, PAIN, CRAMPS TO NO EXTREME. MEDICAL GAVE ME A ORDER OF KARAPAT. AND PERIOD. WHICH ONE WERE AN ANTIBODY AND THE OTHER REDUCE ACID REFLECTS. HOWEVER, SUCH FOOD MENU'S THAT FACILITY SERVED WERE A DANGER UNTO MY COLON. THIS MENU IS A CONTINUATION OF FOODS WHICH MEDICAL HAVE GIVEN ME A CHART INSTRUCTING ME OF FOODS TO AVOID AND THE PAIN THESE FOODS CAN BE OF DANGER. I'VE REQUEST A DIFFERENT DIET MENU. HOWEVER, SUCH DIET IS WITHOUT MEAT NUTRIENTS DUE TO REGULAR MEAL MENU CONSIST OF MIXTURE OF PROCESSED MEAT AND CORN, GREEN PEAS WHICH IS SOME OF PRODUCE

2:23-cv-05913-JFA-MGB WRIGHT

THAT IS OF THE AVOID FOODS IN CHART WHICH MEDICAL ADVISED ME TO NOT EAT. I ASK ALL ABOVE STAFF INCLUDED IN THIS CLAIM TO ADDRESS ISSUE WITH DIRECTOR RAY ABOUT MEAL MENU. WHICH ALL (ET AL) HAVE DECLINED SUCH ATTEMPT, EVEN TO EXTENT OF ALLOWING ME TO PURCHASE FISH OFF COMMISSARY TO GIVE MY BODY ITS PROPER CALORIES, REQUEST DENIED. DIRECTOR RAY IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY HAVE DENIED ME ACCESS TO LEGAL LAW LIBRARY, BY PUNISHMENT OF DEPRIVING ME ONLY ACCESS WERE AVAILABLE (TABLETS/ APPS) DUE TO TWO STATE SENTENCE INDIVIDUALS WHICH ONE IS NO LONGER IN FACILITY. SUCH DEVICE DEPRIVEMENT • DENIED ME OF RELIGIOUS PRACTICES • EDUCATIONAL OPTIONS • ACCESS TO FAMILY MEMBERS AFTER SCHOOL AND WORK HOURS. THESE ACTIONS IN WHICH HER STAFF AND HERSELF HAVE DONE DURING MY SIX MONTHS OF INCARCERATION AT THE SLRDC FACILITY. HOWEVER THESE ACTIONS IMPLECT PUNISHMENT WHILE INNOCENT, VIOLATED DUE PROCESS CLAUSE OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. WHICH THE COURTS ESTABLISHED IN BELL V. WOLFISH BARS CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. THESE ACTIONS OF MISCONDUCT I HAVE ATTEMPTED TO RESOLVE THROUGH GRIEVANCE PROCESS ON KIOSK BY REQUEST. SUCH GRIEVE I'VE BEEN WARNED I'LL HAVE TO PAY FOR, HOWEVER, REQUEST WASNT IN FULL RESPONSE DUE TO ME ONLY RECEIVING MAYBE FOUR OUT OF MAYBE FIFTEEN OR MORE GRIEVANCE. THIS WERE TO SHOW THE COURTS THE LACK OF

ASSISTANCE WHICH DIRECTOR RAY ET AL HAVE REPEATEDLY AND DELIBERATELY CAUSE THROUGHOUT THEIR DAILY DUTY AS A PUBLIC OFFICIAL OR PRIVATE FACILITY STAFF.

WHICH BRINGS ME TO A REQUEST IN PUNATIVE, MONETARY CLAIM OF \$50,000⁰⁰, MEDICAL EXPENSES FOR DAMAGES OF UNKNOWN EXISTANCE, RELIEF OF HER DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCES ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES OF CLASSIFICATION AND RECREATION, CELL, DIET MENU, RESTRICTIONS. NEW VISITATION, SANITATION, CORRESPONDENCE PROCEDURE FOR DETAINEES, ACCESS TO LEGAL LAW LIBRARY AT ALL TIMES.

I SAUGHT RELIEF OF CLAIMS ACCORDING TO BELL V. WOLFISH AND WILSON V. SOUTER, 501, U.S. OBJECTIVE HARMFUL ENOUGH TO ESTABLISH A CONSTITUTION VIOLATION, TO NO EXTREME AND CHART SHOWS DAMAGE WHICH FOOD MENU ON BACK OF CHART SHOWS CHOICE OF MEALS FACILITY PROVIDED AFTER MEDICAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF STOMACH ILLNESS (SEE LT. BENJAMIN AND MEDICAL)

2:23-CV-05913-JFA-MGB WRIGHT v. LUMPKIN

THIS CLAIM IS TO SAUGHT RELIEF FROM PERSON OF WHOM VIOLATED MY CONSTITUTION RIGHTS IN A CULPABLE STATE OF MIND IN ITS INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY, IN THE AMOUNT OF FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS/CLAIM. VIOLATING THE SIXTH AMENDMENT BY DEPRIVEMENT OF FUNDS BY FAILING TO REINBURST X AMOUNT OF DOLLARS ON DIFFERENT OCCASSION; (6th AMEND)

VIOLATING EIGHTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS BY HOLDING ME IN A UNIT OF DISCIPLINARY AND MENTAL HEALTH DETAINEES, LABELING IT/ME AS A MAX IN SECURITY "WHOM INNOCENT TIL PROVEN GUILTY," APPLYING SEVERE PUNISHMENT OF LIKE 35 SHOWERS IN A SIX MONTH TIMING; 35 HRS OUT MY CELL IN A SIX MONTH FRAME; NO PRIVACY IN 5/8 CELL CAUSING OVERCROWD- PATIENT FOR THREE OF SIX MONTHS ENDANGERING MY LIFE. DEPRIVING ME OF ADEQUATE RECREATION TIME AS WELL AS EXERCISE TIMING, CAUSING HEALTH DETERIORATION LIKE HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE THREATS, RESTRICTIVE VISITING A FAMILY CORRESPONDENT HOURS, RESTRICTED TO NO LAW LIBRARY TIME DUE TO DEPRIVEMENT OF DEVICE WHICH LAW LIBRARY IS CONDUCTED ON, I REQUEST THROUGH GRIEVANCE OF ASSISTANCE FOR LAW LIBRARY AND RESPONSE WERE THAT C.POD(MAX) AREA WERE NOT ALLOW DEVICE (TABLET) WHICH DENIED ME ACCESS TO LAW LIBRARY EVEN TIL THIS DAY AND REQUEST OR RESTRICTION TOOK PLACE IN NOV. 2023 DUE TO OTHER DETAINEES ACTION WHOM SO HAPPEN TO BE STATE SENTENCE INMATES.

THE EXCESSIVE CONFINEMENT VIOLATING DUE PROCESS RIGHTS OF EIGHTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE TO EVERY SO MANY DAYS ONE SHALL HAVE ADMINISTRAVE REMEDIES AS EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE OF MEDIUM REQUIREMENTS OF A DETENTION CENTER. VIOLATION OF SIXTH

AMENDMENT DUE TO THE DEPRIVEMENT OF
 FREEDOM, LIBERTY, WITHOUT JUST REASON OF
 SUCH KEEPLOCK ON DETAINEE OR PUNISHMENT
 OF DETAINEE OF PENDING CHARGES. 29-E
 SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR PRETRIAL DETAINEES;
 244 PRETRIAL DETAINEES ENJOY MANY OF THE RIGHTS
 THEY WOULD HAVE WERE, MAY NOT BE PUNISHED AND
 CAN CLAIM THAT JAIL PRACTICING SUBJECTING THEM
 TO PUNISHMENT VIOLATE THEIR DUE PROCESS RIGHTS
 TO BE FOUND GUILTY BEFORE PUNISHMENT IS INFLECTED
 245 IN BELL V. WOLFISH THE SUPREME COURT DECLARES
 THAT THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE OF THE FOURTEENTH
 AMENDMENT, WHICH GOVERNS WHETHER CONDITIONS
 OF CONFINEMENT SHOULD NOT VIOLATE ONE'S RIGHTS
 246 THE COURT ESTABLISHED IN BELL THAT JAIL
 CONDITIONS SHOULD NOT BE ASSESSED UNDER THE
 EIGHTH AMENDMENT, WHICH BARS CRUEL + UNUSUAL
 PUNISHMENT, 247 BECAUSE PRETRIAL DETAINEES
 CANNOT BE PUNISHED AT ALL 248 INSTEAD CLAIMS
 ARE ASSESSED UNDER THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE
 OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. CH. 16 (JLM) 42 USC
 1983 + 28 USC § 1331 TO OBTAIN RELIEF FROM VIOLATIONS
 OF FEDERAL LAW. HOWEVER TO INCLUDE SUCH PUNISHMENT
 IS WITHOUT PENELOGICAL ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY AND/OR
 JUSTIFICATION DUE TO I HAVE NO DISCIPLINARY MIS-
 BEHAVIOR REPORTS, WHICH RESULTS TO KEEPLOCK
 OF 35 HOURS OUT IN SIX MONTHS TIMING OF
 1HR PER DAY. • I'VE REQUEST COPIES OF GRIEVANCE
 TO SHOW AND PROVE CONSISTENCY OF MY CRY FROM
 HIGHER AUTHORITY EXECUTIVE COMMAND STAFF
 TO INCLUDE DIRECTOR RAY, "(RESPONSE) FOR ME TO
 STOP WRITING SO MANY GRIEVANCE," WHICH
 POD OFFICERS HAVE WARN ME IN DIFFERENT
 OCCASSIONS AS TO INDANGER MY LIFE WITH
 OTHER DETAINEES WHOM LABELS ONE AS "SNITCH."
 THIS I SAUGHT RELIEF OF CLAIMS AMOUNT FROM
 MAJOR CHANAE LUMPKIN IN FULL OF MONETARY AND
 PUNATIVE DAMAGE BECAUSE SUCH EXPERIENCE IS FACTS
 SHE OPERATE IN A CULPABLE STATE OF MIND.

2:23-cv-05913-JFA-MGB WRIGHT

- THIS SUIT IS BROUGHT AGAINST THE STAFF et al OF SLRDC AS ONE PARTICULAR IN HIS INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY, OPERATING IN THE UTMOST OF A CULPABLE STATE OF MIND - CAPT. WILLARD SWEAT III OF THE SAID HAVE VIOLATED MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS SIXTH AMENDMENT, EIGHTH AMENDMENT, FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT; I SAUGHT RELIEF IN MONIES OF FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS / MONETARY AND PUNATIVE DAMAGES. FOR SUCH STAFF AS LEADING OFFICIAL AT AS FOLLOWS.
- A SERIOUS DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE TO CONDITIONS ON HIS BEHALF DENYING ME OF BASIC HUMAN NEEDS HOLDING ME IN KEEPOCK IN RETAILATION FOR USING GRIEVANCE SYSTEM - GILL V. PHILIPCHAK, 389 F.3d.379 VIOLATING EIGHTH AMENDMENT, FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.
 - ON 2-08-24 I WERE SEEN BY NURSE IN REGARDS TO MEAL MENU I'M SUBJECT TO DUE TO MEDICAL CONDITION. "NURSE", WHOM INFORMS ME THAT SHE HAVE NO CONTROL OVER KITCHEN AND NUTRIENTS OF MEALS I'M RECEIVING. HOWEVER, I WERE INFORM BY NURSE A SECOND TIME THAT MY BLOOD PRESSURE WERE HIGH AND I MAY NEEDED MEDS, NO RESULTS AS UNTO THAT YET.
 - HOWEVER SAME ABOVE DATE AS CAPT. SWEAT SERVED LAST MEAL AND COMMAND POD AND KITCHEN STAFF THAT "I SHOULDN'T BE RECEIVING DOUBLE PORTION MEALS ON VEGAN TRAY. CAUSING ME A LOSS OF SEVERE WEIGHT BY LACK OF CALORIES, AS WELL AS DISCRIMINATING AGAINST ME OF EQUAL MEALS CALORIES AS OTHER DETAINEES RECEIVES. I RECEIVES ADIET OF VEGAN MEALS DUE TO LACK OF SUBSTITUTES OF PROPER NUTRITION SUCH AS MEATS, VEGAN MEALS COMES WITH A LITTLE MORE VEGGIES OR RICE. ONCE CAPT. SWEAT ACKNOWLEDGED SUCH. HE BEGAN TO INFORM OTHER OFFICERS AND KITCHEN STAFF NOT TO PREPARE MY MEALS IN SUCH MANNER. CAUSING ME A LACK OF SHORTAGE OF NUTRITION AND CALORIES.

- CAPT. SWEAT BEING ONE OF EXECUTIVE COMMAND OFFICIAL HERE AS SURDC DAY SHIFT OPERATOR HAVE ON SEVERAL OCCASSION BEEN ASK TO MOVE ME FROM KEEPLOCK. "I CANT ANSWER THAT, HOWEVER, OTHERS INSIST THAT DUE TO CAPT SWEAT FALSE ACQUISATIONS I WASNT MOVED ON 12.15.23 TO INCLUDE DETAINEES WITH SAME ARRESTED CHARGES. • ON 12.12.23 I ASK CAPT. SWEAT TO ASSIST ME WITH LAW LIBRARY, HE REPLIED HE WOULD TAKE CARE OF THAT; HOWEVER A FOLLOW-UP WERE DONE WITH ABOVE MENTION STAFFS, BUT NEITHER PERFORM DUTY TO ASSIST ME. 12.20.23 FOLLOW-UP WITH CAPT SWEAT BOUT LAW LIBRARY, HOTWATER IN CELL, CLEAN CLOTHING, HE DECLINES REQUEST BY FAILING TO PERFORM OR ASSIST IN THIS MATTER.
- 12.29.23 I INFORMED CAPT. SWEAT THAT I ORDERED TWO DIFFERENT ORDERS THE WEEK OF X.MAS (12.16.23-12.20.21-2023) WHICH NEITHER ORDER ARRIVED. CAPT. SWEAT IN HIS INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY REPLIED "LOTS OF ORDERS WERENT RECEIVED DUE TO COMPANY MISTAKE. HOWEVER, ORDER OF 12.16.23 WERE DISCOVERED BENEATH STAFF DESK ON 1/31.24 MISSING \$10.24(5) HONEYBUNS AND THEY NEVER GOT REINBURST. VIOLATING MY SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT OF DUE PROCESS. DUE TO CAPT SWEAT REFUSAL TO ADEQUATELY PERFORM HIS DUTIES AFTER I INSISTED I SEEN SAME ORDER ON STAFF DESK. WHICH FOODS IS NEVER BEEN REPLACED.
- 01.02.24. I DID ANOTHER FOLLOW-UP FOR LAW LIBRARY, THIS RESPONSE CAPT. SWEAT REFERS ME BACK TO MAJOR WMPK IN AVOIDING ME DUE PROCESS RIGHTS OF FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT AS UNTO DIRECTOR RAY,
- 01.04.24. DID FOLLOW-UP WITH CAPT. SWEAT BOUT HOT WATER IN CELL C211, HE REFER BACK TO MAKING A LIST.

2:23-cv-05913-JFA-MGB WRIGHT

1.10.24. DURING SERVING MEAL TIME, CAPT. SWEAT AND TWO SGT. TOLD C-POD, WE WERE ALL ON ~~LOCK-DOWN~~ LOCK-DOWN DUE TO KERINTINE OF ONE DETAINEE CLAIMING COVID SYMPTOMS. HOWEVER, FOR 14 DAYS OR MORE WE WERE KEEP LOCK BEHIND DOORS 24/7 TO NO EXTREME; NO SANITATION, NO SHOWERS, NO DISINFECTING LIVING UNITS; NO CLEANING NONE AT ALL. WHICH CARRIED ON FOR FOURTEEN DAYS, WHICH FOFILL THE 35 DAYS, 1 PER DAY WE WERE ALLOWED SHOWERS IN SIX MONTHS KEEP LOCK. ALL ON CAPT. SWEAT AUTHORITY. WHEN ASK CAPT. SWEAT AS UNTO CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT, HE STATES " YOU CAME OUT OTHER DAYS," TO EXCUSE MISSING SCHEDULE DAYS DELIBERATLY INDIFFERENCE TO NO EXTREME EXCESSIVELY OPPRESSING THE OPPRESS. FORCING ME TO BATH IN COLD SINK WATER SEVERAL DAYS THROUGHOUT SIX MONTH KEEPLOCK. VIOLATING AN EIGHTH AMENDMENT OF CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT BEING THAT CAPT. SWEAT IS MORE THAN AWARE NO HOT WATER EXIST IN CELL I'M HOUSED AND THEIR REFUSAL OF SHOWERS WITHOUT PENELOGICAL REASONING.

1.29.24. UPON SERVING LUNCH, I TOLD CAPT. SWEAT THAT VEGAN TRAY WERE APPROVED FOR ME. CAPT. SWEAT DENIED ME SUCH TRAY AFTER I SHOWED HIM PREVIOUS BREAKFAST MEAL TRAY AS EVIDENCE. CAPT. SWEAT REPLIED "THATS OTHER SHIFT IN HIS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY AS WELL AS OFFICIAL DENYING ME OF THAT MEAL AFTER I CRIED THAT REGULAR MEAL IS OF HARM TO THE DIVERTICULITIS STOMACH DISEASE I TAKE MEDICINE FOR.

2.02.24 I SCREAM THROUGH DOOR OF CELL FOR CAPT. SWEAT ASSISTANCE BOUT MISSING COMMISSARY, HOWEVER, COMMISSARY YET HAVE BEEN REPLACED. WHICH VIOLATES MY SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE TO LACK OF CAPT. SWEAT

PERFORMANCE IN HIS CULPABLE STATE OF MIND IN HIS OFFICIAL AND INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY MY GRIEVANCE PROCESS ISNT BEING CORRECTED AND HIS ABILITY TO ASSIST ME AS A DETAINEE IS NON EXISTANT TO NO EXTREME.

* 2.12.24 I FOLLOW-UP WITH CAPT. SWEAT IN REGARDS TO DEADLINE OF ABOVE CASE AND SUCH SERIOUSNESS OF LAW LIBRARY. CAPT. SWEAT REFERRAL ME TO KIOSK MACHINE TO REQUEST CASE LAW THAT HAVE TO BE PAID FOR FROM MAJOR LUMPKIN. IN WHICH I HAVE NO PARTICULAR CASE LAW I NEEDED ALL TO ANY CASE LAW CONSISTANT TO CLAIM I'M FILING WHICH LEAD ME TO NO ASSISTANCE BEING PROSE, AND FACT I CANT SHEPHERDIZE FOLLOW CASE LAW REFERENCE. DEPRIVING ME OF ACCESS TO EDUCATIONAL LAW MATERIAL. CAUSING ME TO BE HANDICAP AND IGNORANT TO LAW, WHICH HINDERS ME TO RIGHTFULLY STATE MY CLAIMS, LEAVING ME TO SUFFER CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT OF A RISING HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE AND INADEQUATE STOMACH ILLNESS, PAIN, CRAPS, TO NO DEGREE, CAUSING ME TO HAVE TO CONSUME KAROPHAT AND PEPSID PILLS TO AVOID SEVERE DEATH THREATENING ILLNESS KNOWN AS DIVERTICULITIS. WHICH ON PREVIOUS DKT. NO. 12 at 3 EXPLAINS THE FOODS TO AVOID. THE EFFECTS OF THE FOODS AND THAT SEVERE PAIN THAT TAKE PLACE IF FOOD IS CONSUME AND 7-9 SHALL DESCRIBE THE MENU OF FOODS THAT WERE BEING SERVED WHICH CAUSED ME WEIGHT LOSS DUE TO NO CONSUMPTION, NOR SUBSTITUTE OF HARMLESS MEALS WHICH CHART ADVISED. MEDICAL WARNS SUCH MEALS MENU IS OF SECURITY RESPONSIBILITY NOT MEDICAL. THEREFORE I CLAIM FIFTY THOUSAND IN PUNATIVE AND MONETARY DAMAGES TO NO EXTREME IN VIOLATION MENTION THROUGHOUT DISTRIBTION OF ~~THE~~ ACTION(S), IN HIS CULPABLE STATE OF MIND.

2:23-cv-05913-JFA-MGB WRIGHT v. Dir. Ray et al

DETAINEE TRAVIS S. WRIGHT REQUESTING RELIEF OF CLAIMS IN HIS FAVOR DUE TO SEVERAL STAFF AND OFFICIAL VIOLATING CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF SIXTH, FOURTEENTH, EIGHTH, AMENDMENTS AS WELL AS INADEQUATE MEDICAL TREATMENT, DENIAL OF PROPER NUTRITIONAL MEALS, PROPER/ADEQUATE REC. OPPORTUNITY TO EXERCISE, UNSANITIZE LIVING CONDITION, OVERCROWDNESS/LIVING ENVIRONMENT, DENIAL OF ACCESS TO LEGAL ASSISTANCE-LAW LIBRARY, RESTRICTED VISITATION, DENIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY PROCESS.

- 8-15-23 I (DETAINEE) ENTER FACILITY, SURDC-SUMTER LEE REGIONAL DETENTION CENTER. UPON BOOKING, I WERE PLACED INTO B-POD (AKA MAX SECURITY). WHILE IN B-POD I WERE SUBJECT TO BEING CONFINED 24 HRS, OTHER THAN DAYS I WERE ALLOWED SHOWERS OUT OF 5 BY 8 CELL B-108. SUCH SCHEDULE WENT ACCORDINGLY OF DAYS FOR 1 HR PER DAY- AUGUST-17, 21, 23, 25, 29, 31, ALL WERE DAYS TO SHOWER, CLEAN CELL, PHONE CALL, ECT. (1 HR-PER DAY). ALL OTHER DAYS WERE NOT ALLOWED DUE TO THE SURDC CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM CLASSIFYING ME AS MAX SECURITY, BECAUSE OF ARRESTED CHARGE. WHICH SIMPLY VIOLATES 29-E SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR PRETRIAL DETAINEES, 14th AMENDMENT OF DUE PROCESS CLAUSE; BELL v. WOLFISH- UNDER EIGHTH AMENDMENT, CRUEL + UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. CH. 16 (JUM) 42 USC § 1983 + 28 USC § 1331. OBTAIN RELIEF FROM VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL LAW. 8-31-23.

OFFICER, SGT. BOWMAN MOVED ME FROM B-108 TO C-211. BEFORE ACTUALLY GETTING INTO CELL C-211, SGT. BOWMAN WARNS ME THAT CELL WERE OVERBRIGHT OF FILTHY CONDITION AND IS UNBEARABLE. THAN I REQUEST CLEANING SUPPLIES IN WHICH SGT. BOWMAN REFUSED. HOWEVER THE HEAT/AIR UNIT WERE OFF AND STAYED OFF TIL 9:40 AM 9-5-23 IS DATE AND TIME UNIT CAME BACK ON.

AROUND BOUT 8/30/23; 3:40 pm I SAUGHT TO RECEIVE MEDICAL ASSISTANCE THROUGH B-POD OFFICER-SGT. TAMEKIA GREGG WRIGHT, WHOM ON SEVERAL OCCASSIONS VIOLATED MY 6th, 8th, 14th AMENDMENT RIGHT IN HER INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY. ON THE FOLLOWING DATES SGT. T. GREGG WRIGHT VIOLATED MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AS FOLLOWS.

- DENIAL OF ASSISTANCE TO MEDICAL TREATMENT UPON REQUEST.
- DENIAL OF ADEQUATE ADMINISTRATIVE ~~REMEDY~~ REMEDIES
- DENIAL OF ACCESS TO DUE PROCESS RIGHTS 14th AMENDMENT
- DENIAL OF ADEQUATE RECREATION TIME TO EXERCISE
- DEPRIVEMENT OF PERSONAL PROPERTY AND MONIES WITHOUT ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY JUSTIFICATION.
- DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCES BY RECOMMENDING KITCHEN STAFF TO MINUS NUTRITION IN DIET MEALS; CONTINUALLY DEMANDING KITCHEN STAFF "NO DOUBLE PORTION," WHEN IN VEGAN MEALS DOUBLE PORTION SUBSTITUTE MISSING CALORIES OF MEAT/PROTEIN, WHICH SGT. G. WRIGHT DON'T INSIST TO BE SUBSTITUTED. CAUSING SEVERE WAIT LOST DUE TO MINUS PORTION ON STAFF REQUEST.
- SGT. G. WRIGHT DELIBERATELY TO BEST OF HER ABILITY IN HER INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY REFUSES AT ANY TIME POSSIBLE TO GIVE C-POD SHOWERS/REC.
- SGT. G. WRIGHT HAS AND CONTINUES TO DEPRIVE ME OF MY PERSONAL PROPERTY SUCH AS COMMISSARY VIOLATING MY SIXTH, EIGHTH, FOURTEENTH, AMENDMENT RIGHTS. FROM ORDER # 24540727 UNDER NEATH THE DESK OF CONTROL BOTH OF C-POD LIVING UNIT. SUCH ORDER WERE BROUGHT TO ME ON JAN. 31, 2024, HOWEVER I PLACED ORDER ON 12/16/2023, ON 12/20/2023 I ADDRESSED SGT. G. WRIGHT BOUT SUCH ORDER BECAUSE I OBSERVED IT INSIDE C-POD CONTROL BOTH (STAFF OFFICE) THROUGH GLASS WINDOW. SGT. G. WRIGHT DENIED SUCH ORDER EXIST WITH REPLY THAT "NO ONE RECEIVED COMMISSARY IN C-POD LIVING QUARTERS. HOWEVER, NEXT DAY AM HOURS I ADDRESSED A SECOND OFFICER BOUT ORDER ON

INSIDE DESK OF CONTROL BOTH, THIS OFFICER LIES AND COVER ORDER WITH OTHER ITEMS TO SAY "NO THAT'S NOT YOURS, WITHOUT LOOKING TO CHECK IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY CAUSING ME

DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCES SURROUNDING DEPRIVEMENT OF MY PERSONAL PROPERTY. SEE OFC JOHNSON IN FURTHER NOTES. SUCH ACTION CONTINUES FROM OFFENSES SET F. 1/10/68

- SUCH ORDER WERE MISSING FOR 1.5 MONTH AND UP TO THIS DATE 02-11-2024 I'M STILL MISSING \$10.45-1-7303(5) HONEYBUNS.

- ON 11/09/2023 ORDER # 24312718, AS SGT. G. WRIGHT INVENTORY SUCH ORDER. SHE NEVER CREDIT MISSING ITEM D-3315 \$4.92 P/NUT BUTTER (3) THAT SUCH MONEY GET REFUNDED BACK TO MY ACCOUNT. UPON TELLING SGT. G. WRIGHT ABOUT SUCH INADEQUACY, SHE DENIED REFUND BY NOT ACKNOWLEDGING IT ON RECEIPT CAUSING ME A LOSS OF PAY AND INADEQUATELY DIRECTOR MADE ACCUZATION OF OTHER FUNDS BEING REFUNDED FOR SAME ITEMS DIFFERENT ORDER DELAYING TIME TO REVIEW CAMERA THAT P/NUT BUTTER WERE MISSING FROM BAG AS ONE AND OFFICER ASSISTANCE BOTH TOLD OFC. SGT. G. WRIGHT.
- UPON SUCH ACTION I SAUGHT RELIEF OF CLAIMS OF VIOLATION OF 1st, 8th, 14th AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF CRUEL + UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT, DEPRIVEMENT OF PERSONAL PROPERTY, VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS - DENIAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES. BELL VS. WOLFISH; RHEM VS. MALCOLM.

AS OF THIS DATE ABOVE MENTION MISSING OF FUNDS AND OR FOODS (COMMISSARY) HAS NOT BEEN REFUNDED NOR REPLACE AND SGT. G. WRIGHT IS FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR SUCH LOSS BECAUSE IN HER INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY SHE REFUSE TO VERIFY ITEMS AS THE JOB TITLE REQUIRES.

2:23-cv-05913-JFA-MGB WRIGHT

VIOLATION OF 6th, 8th, 14th AMENDMENT / SGT. BOWMAN
DUE PROCESS, CLAUSE; CRUEL + UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT

- SGT. BOWMAN, WHOM MOVED ME FROM B. POD-108 TO C. POD-211. MOVED ME IN A VERY UNCLEAN AND UNSANITIZED AREA. UPON REQUESTING CLEANING SUPPLIES SGT. BOWMAN DELEBERATLY NEVER PROVIDED. WHICH AS OF TODAY STILL HAVE MOLE FROM FOOD OR WASTE RESIDUE IN CEILING DUE TO LACK OF CLEANING IN CELL BEFORE ME BEING PLACED INTO IT (211). WHEN ASK SGT. BOWMAN TO CLEAN AND DISINFECT AREA(S) OF CELL, "ITS NO - OR NOT TODAY."
 - SGT. BOWMAN WORKS C. POD NORMALLY AND ROUTINLY; UPON HIM WORKING C. POD, HE TENDS TO DELEBERATLY, (AS OTHER CO-WORKERS HAVE SAID) FIND OTHER THINGS TO DO REFUSING TO GIVE INDIVIDUALS AND ME SHOWERS AS SCHEDULE. GIVING AND PUTTING US IN A LACK OF UNCLEANESS FOR WEEKS WITH A REPEAT SCHEDULE OF AN AVERAGE OF THIRTY-FIVE SHOWERS IN THE SIX MONTHS TIME ~~FRAME~~ FRAME THAT I'VE BEEN HERE, AT SLRDC.
 - THIS INCLUDE THE INDANGERMENT OF MY LIFE DUE TO A COVID LOCK-DOWN. NO MASK, NO CLEANING; NO SANITATION, NOTHING TO PROTECT ME FROM COVID ENDANGERMENT. VIOLATING THE EIGHTH AMENDING RIGHTS AND OTHER FEDERAL LAWS, EQUAL RIGHTS PROTECTION.
 - OFFICER SGT. BOWMAN ALSO REFUSED A ORDER REQUEST OF MY COMMISSARY CONFIRMATION AS WELL VIOLATING MY SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT, DEPRIVEMENT OF PERSONEL PROPERTY, HOWEVER, I GOT REFUNDED THROUGH GRIEVANCE SYSTEM WITH CHAIN OF COMMAND, NO HELP THROUGH HIM.
- DUE TO THESE ACTIONS AND MISCONDUCT I SAUGHT CLAIMS OF VIOLATIONS OF MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF MY 6th, 8th, 14th AMENDMENT (CRUEL + UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT.)

- ON 12.16.23 I ORDERED COMMISSARY WHICH WOULD ARRIVE ON 12.20.23. UPON NOT RECEIVING THE ORDER #24540727 ON THAT WEDNESDAY (12.20.23). I BEGAN TO ASK THE OFFICER OF THE ROD, DID MY COMMISSARY CAME. I LOOKED ONTO THE OFFICER OFFICE AND DESK, AT SUCH TIME IS WHEN I WITNESSED MY COMMISSARY ON THE DESK ASK OFC. JOHNSON FOR IT. OFC. JOHNSON IN HIS INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY TOOK ANOTHER ITEM AND COVERED THE ITEMS UP IN CORNER OF DESK AND STATED UNTO ME "NO THAT'S NOT YOURS," HOWEVER, 45 DAYS LATER ANOTHER OFFICER ON 01.31.24 FOUND THIS ORDER HIDING BENEATH STAFF DESK IN OFFICE WHICH I WITNESS THE COMMISSARY AT THE ARRIVAL DATE; HOWEVER BAG WERE MISSING \$10.45 ORT BAG (5) HONEYBUNS TO BE EXACT. UP TO THIS DATE OF 02.13.24 THESE FUNDS HAVE NOT BEEN VERIFIED AS MISSING AND ITS DEPRIVING ME OF REINBURSTMENT. THIS ACTION HAS VIOLATED MY SIXTH AMENDMENT AS WELL AS MY FOURTEENTH AND EIGHTH AMENDMENT. I ALSO ASK OFC. JOHNSON FOR ASSISTANCE ON TABLET CHARGE-UP SEVERAL DAYS PRIOR TO 12.22.23 AND HE WOULD DECLINE. ON 12.22.23 AM HOURS OFFICER JOHNSON APPROACH CELL - C-211 AND TOLD ME TO "GIVE HIM TABLET HE COULD GET IT CHARGED." ALL ALONG ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ OFC. JOHNSON AT SOME POINT DECIDED HE WASNT RETURNING THE TABLET DEPRIVING ME ACCESS TO LAW LIBRARY, RELIGIOUS SERVICE, AND ACCESS TO EDUCATION SERVICES. FOR UNKNOWN REASON, SUCH ACTION VIOLATES MY RIGHTS OF CONSTITUTION FEDERAL LAW 14th, 8th, 6th AMENDMENTS, CRUEL & UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT, VIOLATION DUE PROCESS, VIOLATION OF DEPRIVING OF PROPERTY (6th AMENDMENT).
- VIOLATION OF SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT/DEPRIVING OF PERSONAL PROPERTY, VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS CLAUSE. SGT. MOORE; ON 01.31.24 I RECEIVED COMMISSARY ORDER NUMBER #24540727 FROM/BY SGT. MOORE. HOWEVER SUCH ORDER WERE MISSING (\$10.45) HONEYBUNS (5) ASK SGT. MOORE TO VERIFY HE CLAIMED HE DID HOWEVER REINBURSEMENT NEVER TOOK PLACE. AS I REQUEST THE REINBURSTMENT, I GET THAT IM

BEING AGGRAVATE OR I'M OVERREACTING UNTRUE FACTS THAT OFFICER SGT. MOORE FAIL TO CLARIFY WITH RESPONSIBLE PERSON OF REINBURSTMENT, CAUSING MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF MY SIXTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO BE VIOLATED. I'VE GRIEVANCE THIS ISSUE, HOWEVER ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES DONT EXIST DUE TO NO GRAIVANCE COORDINATOR SYSTEM PERSONEL EXISTING.

- VIOLATING CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF 6th, 14th 8th AMENDMENT AND SUCH CLAIM IN VIOLATION I REQUEST RELIEF OF \$50,000.00 FOR PUNATIVE, MONETARY et al DAMAGES, PER ABOVE MENTION STAFF OF SURDC AND THOSE TO FOLLOW BELOW THIS CLAIM. (OTHERS TO BE ADDED);
- ALL OF ABOVE MENTIONED MISCONDUCT OF THE STAFF OF THE SURDC FACILITY, IS AT A DEGREE A CONTINUOUS BEHAVIOR. I ADDRESSED THESE MISCONDUCT TO HIGHER RANKING STAFF, AND I GET RETAILIATION FROM THE STAFFS) FOR USING GRIEVANCE SYSTEM- WHICH EXPLAINS WHY I'M BEING HOUSED WITH A MENTAL HEALTH PATIENT IN A 5/8 CELL ENDANGERING MY LIFE AND MENTAL ABILITY SERVERLY BY THE TANDRUMS OF MENTAL PATIENT AND THE LACK OF REST AS WELL AS NON STRESSFUL DAYS-CAUSING ME HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE RESULTS THAT IS NOT BEING GIVEN OPPORTUNITY TO RESOLVE. THE MISCONDUCT HAS LEAD TO SERIOUS AND EMOTIONAL DETERIORATION TO NO EXTREME. Below is the following ABUS AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT CARRIED OUT BY STAFF VIOLATING MY SIXTH, EIGHTH, FOURTEENTH, AMENDMENT RIGHT.
- UNSANITARY LIVING CONDITIONS • RESTRICTIVE VISITING HOUR
- DENIAL OF ADEQUATE RECREATION • RESTRICTIVE HOUR ESPONDENCE • UNCONSTITUTIONAL OVERCROWDING - 5/8 CELL
- DENIAL ACCESS TO LAW LIBRARY • INADEQUATE MEDICAL CARE • FOR IN PROVIDING FOR DETENTION CORR. INST. MUST BE MORE THAN MERE DEPOSITORIES FOR HUMAN BAGGAGE AND ANY DEPRIVATION OR RESTRICTION OF THE HUMAN BAGGAGE RIGHT. BEYOND THOSE WHICH ARE NECESSARY OF CONFINEMENT ALONE MUST BE JUSTIFIED BY A COMPELLING NECESSITY. SHARPIRO V. THOMPSON, 394 U.S. 618

2:23-cv-05913-JFA-MGB WRIGHT

VIOLATION OF MY SIXTH, EIGHTH, FOURTEENTH
AMENDMENT RIGHTS - SGT. BEHUNIAK, I SAUGHT
RELIEF FROM ABOVE VIOLATIONS OF MISCONDUCT
IN PUNITIVE, MONETARY, ETC, RELIEF OF
FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS FOR PAIN AND
SUFFERING OF OFFICER(S) ACTION CONTINUE
AS OFFICER(S) PERFORM IN THEIR CUPABLE
STATE OF MIND.

AS OF 9-14-2023, I ORDER COMMISSARY OF
~~DEP~~ ORDER NUMBER #23937,382. UPON RECEIVING
THIS ORDER FROM SGT. BEHUNIAK, HE STATED
IN A CUPABLE STATE OF MIND, IN HIS INDIVIDUAL
AS WELL AS PHYSICAL CAPACITY THAT THE ORDER
WERE CONFIRM AS ALL WERE PRESENT (ITEMS)
AND HE NEEDED MY SIGNATURE. I RECEIVED
ORDER PROCEED TO CHECK ITEMS. SGT.
BEHUNIAK CLOSE C-211 DOOR LEAVING ME TO
HAVE TO SCREAM BETWEEN DOOR CRACKS TO
MAKE HIM AWARE OF MISSING ITEMS OUT
ORDER # 23937,382. HE ACKNOWLEDGE MY
CRY ABOUT MISSING ITEMS AND RETURNED
UNTO CELL C211. HOWEVER, ITEMS MISSING
TOTAL OF FOUR ITEMS AS UNTO ONE WERE
CREDIT BACK. LEAVING THREE REMAINING
NOT CREDIT FOR. HERE IS ONE ITEM WERE
CREDIT, SGT. BEHUNIAK DID ACKNOWLEDGE

THAT SUCH CREDIT SIGNATURE WERE OF
 OFFICER OF B.POD¹¹ WHICH RECEIVETH ALL
 COMMISSARY FIRST¹¹, SGT. G. WRIGHT. UPON
 SGT. BEHUNIAK ACKNOWLEDGING MISSING ITEMS
 HE MARK THE TURN-IN RECEIPT AND TOLD
 ME I'D BE CREDIT FOR MISSING ITEMS.
 UP TO KNOW SUCH MONIES NEVER WERE
 CREDIT TO ME BECAUSE OF RESPONSIBLE
 PERSONS LACK OF PROFESSIONALISM IN THEIR
 OFFICIAL CAPACITY TO PROPERLY REPORT MISSING
 ITEMS (1) 4185-¹¹197; (1) 6007-¹¹1.27; 6429(1)-¹¹2.02.
 I REQUESTED REFUND FOR THESE ITEMS FROM
 STAFF THROUGH GRIEVANCE SYSTEM TO EXECUTIVE
 COMMAND STAFF (SEE MAJOR LUMPKIN), HOWEVER,
 SUCH REQUEST WERE DENIED TO NO REFUND
 BEING REINBURST. I REQUEST COPIES OF
 GRIEVANCE TO SHOW THE CONSISTANCY OF MY
 ATTEMPT TO RECEIVE MY REFUND SUCH
 REQUEST WERE DENIED IN PART AND GIVEN
 FEES FOR COPIES. WHICH, BRING ME TO
 VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS OF ADMINISTRATIVE
 REMEDIES OF MY FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT
 OF EQUAL RIGHTS PROTECTION, SIXTH AMENDMENT
 OF DEPRIVEMENT OF PROPERTY, AND EIGHTH
 AMENDMENT OF CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISH-
 ment.

2:23-cv-05913-JFA-MGB WRIGHT

ON 9-23 MONTH AND YEAR, I WERE
TAKEN TO MEDICAL BY LT. BENJAMIN.
UPON THE VISIT, MEDICAL STAFF WARNS ME
THAT I MAY HAD HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE BUT
ACKNOWLEDGED THAT I HAD DIVERTICULITIS
THROUGH QUESTIONNAIRE AND MEDICAL REPORT.
WHICH LT. BENJAMIN WITNESS. THIS BRINGS ME
TO SAUGHT CLAIM OF INADEQUATE MEDICAL
ASSISTANCE, CARE, AND TREATMENT VIOLATING MY
EIGHTH, FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF
EQUAL PROTECTION FEDERAL LAW OF A DETAINEE.
LT. BENJAMIN AS A SHIFT MANAGER FAILED TO
REPORT TO SLRDC THE DANGER OF THE
ADVISED, DAILY FOOD PRODUCTS TO KITCHEN
STAFF IN WHICH NURSE AND HERSELF NEW
IS A DANGER TO MY COLON/STOMACH AND
OTHER PORTIONS OF MY PHYSICAL INSIDES.
LT. BENJAMIN ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I SHOULDN'T
EAT CORN, GREEN PEAS, ECT DUE TO AN, AVOID
FOODS CHART WERE GIVEN ME AND
DISCUSSED WITH ME BY HER AND NURSE
DUE THE FACT THEY BOTH HAD KNOWLEDGE
OF STOMACH ILLNESS. HOWEVER, NURSE
WARNS ME THAT, (2-8-24, 2-12-24, AND 9-17-23)
ON ABOVE DATES "MEDICAL STAFF HAVE NO
DIVERTICULITIS DIET, CAN'T CONTROL FACILITY

KITCHEN MENU." HOWEVER SAME NURSE HAVE TWICE CHECK MY BLOOD PRESSURE AND STATED I HAD HIGH BLOOD AND NEVER FOLLOW-UP WHICH FIRST APPEARANCE TOOK PLACE WITH LT. BENJAMIN; (SEE MEDICAL FOR FURTHER ON NURSE) HOWEVER LT. BENJAMIN BEING HIGH AUTHORITY OF SLRDC "INDANGER MY HEALTH," AND LIFE BEING IN HER INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY HAVING KNOWLEDGE OF DANGER OF FOODS BEING SERVED AND IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY LACK ADEQUATE MEDICAL CARE BY FAILING TO PROPERLY REPORT TO KITCHEN STAFF OF SUCH HARM AS WERE TOLD TO ME. HINDERING AND DENYING ME OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES AS UNTO A MORE APPLICE DIET FOOD MENU MEALS. THE INCONSISTANCE OF FACILITY STAFF ADEQUATE CARE IS DISCRIMINATION, VIOLATION OF EQUAL PROTECTION RIGHTS OF DUE PROCESS, CRUEL + UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. BECAUSE FACILITY MAY NOT HAVE DIETITIAN, HOWEVER THEY SERVE SPECIAL MEALS AND THEIR MEDICAL STAFF HAVE THAT MOST VULNERABLE SYSTEM "GOGGLE." THEREFORE ALL KNOWLEDGE OF DIET MEALS SHALL BE AVAILABLE. VIOLATING MY EIGHTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. I SAUGHT MONETARY, PUNATIVE DAMAGES IN CURRENCY OF FIFTY

CONT. 2:23-cv-05913-JFA-MGB-Wright

THOUSAND. FOR STAFF IS WORKING IN
THERE CULPABLE STATE OF MIND AND HAVE
THE EXPERIENCE OF A WELL KNOWN DUTY
OF DETENTION CENTER PROCEDURES.

MEDICAL STAFF/SURDC HAS AS FOLLOWED
VIOLATED MY EIGHT AMENDED RIGHTS AND
FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT OF DUE PROCESS OF
EQUAL PROTECTION RIGHTS. AS OF 9.27.23
I WERE TAKEN TO MEDICAL TO BE ADDRESSED
BOUT MEDICAL STOMACH CONDITION, "DIVERTICULITIS,"
SUCH VISIT, I WERE ESCORT BY LT. BENJAMIN AND
WERE ADDRESS UNTO THE DANGERS OF FOOD
NOT TO EAT TO AVOID DANGER TO MY COLON.

MEDICAL STAFF, GAVE ME A SHEET THAT
GIVES ME FOODS TO AVOID AND THE DANGER
OF FOODS IF I DONT AVOID. THESE FOODS
ALSO IS A THREAT TO MY LIFE. ~~THEY~~ THEY
CAN CAUSE COLON CANCER, INFECTIONS,
FATIGUENESS, STOMACH PAINS AND LOTS
OF RESTROOM USAGE. MEDICAL PRESCRIBED
ME TWO DIFFERENT TYPES OF MEDICINE:
PERCID AND KARPAPT ^{they} spelling! THEY ADVISED
ME THAT IT WERE TO KEEP INFECTION DOWN
AND KEEP ADDID DOWN TO KEEP FROM
HAVING REFLECTS. LEAVING THE ILLNESS
TO CAUSE MORE PHYSICAL HARM AFTER

ADVISING MEDICAL OF THE SURGERY IN WHICH MY PERSONAL DOCTOR AUDREY OF SANTEE COOPER URGENT CARE + COLONIAL URGENT CARE HAVE ADVISED ME THAT NEED TO TAKE PLACE. MEDICAL OF SLRNC ADVISED ME THAT SUCH SURGERY WASNT GOOD THAT FOOD MONITORING WERE OF BEST ADVISED ACKNOWLEDGING AT SAME TIME THAT SUCH ADVISED NEEDED FURTHER PROCEEDING AND RECOMMENDATION TO HIGHER AUTHORITIES SUCH AS DIRECTORS AND KITCHEN STAFFS. WHICH LEAVES ME TO INADEQUATE MEDICAL CARE, RESTRICTIVE CORRESPONDENCE RULES, VIOLATING MY EIGHTH, FOURTEENTH, AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF EQUAL PROTECTION RIGHTS OF DETAINEE OF DETENTION CENTER. I SAUGHT RELIEF OF DEFENDANTS OF FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS PER CLAIM. I REQUESTED MEDICAL SICK CALLS HOWEVER REQUEST CAN ONLY BE MADE BY COURT AUTHORITY AND RELEASED BY MY SIGNATURE. REQUEST LAST MADE (2-12-24) DENIED. DUE TO THE FACT MEDICAL AND FACILITY HAVE YET FAIL AFTER SIX MONTHS, OPERATING IN THE CULPABLE STATE OF MIND TO ASSIST ME WITH A DIVERTICULITIS DIET THAT DONT ENDANGER MY LIFE AS THE FACILITY MEAL MENU TODAY.